

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.upoto.gov

26875 T-5790 OSPIGATORS (OSPIGATORS) WOOD, HERRON & EVANS, LLP 2700 CAREW TOWER 441 VINE STREET CINCINNATI, OH 45202 S679  EXAMINER  ART UNIT PAPER NU 2679	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
WOOD, HERRON & EVANS, LLP  2706 CAREW TOWER  441 VINE STREET  CINCINNATI, OH 45202  5679  EXAMINER  EXAMIN	10/549,876	09/16/2005	Kevin R. Easton	ILSC-24B	5889
2700 CAREW TOWER         FERGUSON, MICHAEL, P           441 VINE STREET         ART UNIT         PAPER NU           CINCINNATI, OH 45202         3679	WOOD, HERRON & EVANS, LLP 2700 CAREW TOWER			EXAMINER	
CINCINNATI, OH 45202 ART UNIT PAPER NU. 3679				FERGUSON, MICHAEL P	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MAILDATE DELIVERY				3679	
MAIL DATE DELIVERY					1
03/03/2009 PAPE					DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/549.876 EASTON, KEVIN R. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit MICHAEL P. FERGUSON 3679 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) MICHAEL P. FERGUSON. (3) (2) Thomas J. Burger. (4)\_\_\_\_. Date of Interview: 26 February 2009. c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative) Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No. If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_. Claim(s) discussed: 21. Identification of prior art discussed: Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The structural features which constitute each of the head support connection, the first device connector and the additional device claimed within claim 21, and the structural engagement and functional relationship between the shank of the handle and the head support connector claimed within such claim were discussed in order to overcome rejection under 35 USC 112 2nd paragraph. Further search and/or consideration is required . (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3679